



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

52

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/682,343	08/22/2001	Tzu-Chiang Chung	ACMP0018USA	6806

7590 06/21/2004
NAIPO(North America International Patent Office)
P O Box 506
Merrifield, VA 22116

EXAMINER

SOLOMON, GARY L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2615

DATE MAILED: 06/21/2004

8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/682,343

Applicant(s)

CHUNG, TZU-CHIANG

Examiner

Gary L Solomon

Art Unit

2615

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: ____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

((b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-3 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the applicants own admission of the prior art.

For claim 1, the applicant admits in his own admitted prior art: A digital camera comprising:

an image sensor system (Figure 1, Item 2) for providing n pixels of initial image information, each pixel having m bits of intensity information for only one color selected from a group of at least three component colors (Figure 2, RGB) so that the initial image information comprises $n \times m$ bits (Section [0005]);

a first compression system (Figure 1, Item 6) for compressing the $n \times m$ bits of the initial image information into r bits of secondary image information, wherein r is less than $n \times m$ (Section [0007]);

a frame buffer of at least r bits for storing the secondary image information (Figure 1, Item 10; Section [0007]);

a first decompression system (Figure 1, Item 14) for decompressing the r bits stored in the frame buffer to provide tertiary image information (Section [0008]; and

an image processing system for accepting the tertiary image information to generate processed image information comprising a plurality of pixels, each pixel of the processed image information providing intensity information for each color in the group of at least three component colors (After the image is stored in the non-volatile memory, it is viewed on the digital camera as is stated in the applicants own admitted prior art in Section [0002]. This gives the user of the digital camera the choice of deleting or keeping the picture. The processed image is viewed in the RGB format as is shown in Figure 2. It is inherent in a digital camera that the output of the processed image is in an array of pixels.)

For claim 2, the applicant admits all the limitations of claims 1, and also wherein the image information consists of $n \times m$ bits (Section [0006]).

For claim 3, the applicant admits all the limitations of claims 1 and 2, and also wherein the tertiary image information consists of $n \times m$ bits (After the image is decompressed by the decompression system, it is tertiary image information. It is still in an array form, which is represented in Figure 2 of $n \times m$ bits).

For claim 9, the applicant's own admitted prior art discloses wherein the first image compression system is a lossless image compression system (Section 007). In a lossless system, the information is identical to the initial image information when it is decompressed. This is well known in the art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 4, 6, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applicant's own admitted prior art in view of Demos (US 5,737,027).

For claim 4, the applicant's own admitted prior art discloses all the previous limitations, but lacks teaching further comprising a line buffer for storing a plurality of lines of the tertiary image information and providing a block of serialized tertiary image information to the image processing system.

However, Demos teaches a pixel interlacing apparatus in which an interlaced video camera system uses compression and decompression methods (Column 6, Lines 42-48). Demos further teaches wherein after the decompression of the pixel data in the interlaced system, a multi line buffer is used to store the lines of the image (Column 6, Lines 49-61). The data from the lines are then sent to drive the display (Column 6, Lines 58-61).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have been motivated to configure a line buffer after the decompression system in the well known prior art in order to drive any type of video type of video format as is suggested by Demos in Column 6, Lines 58-62.

For claim 6, the applicant's own admitted prior art discloses a block-based lossy image compression system (Section [0008]) for compressing a block of processed image information to provide compressed image information (Figure 1, Item 16) to the permanent storage system (Figure 1, Item 18) of the digital camera (Figure 1).

For claim 7, Demos teaches a line buffer that stores the image information after the decompression (Column 6, Lines 45-55). This information is the tertiary image information. In

Art Unit: 2615

order for the image information to be processed by the block based lossy image compression system, it must fit the pixel dimension requirements of the block based image compression system. Otherwise, the block-based image compression system would not allow the data in the system and thus would be unable to process it.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to configure the line buffer in such a manner that the pixel dimension would be at least as large as the pixel dimension requirements for the block based lossy image compression system in order for the image to be compressed.

5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applicant's own admitted prior art in view of Demos (US 5,737,027) in further view of Bernstein (US 6,480,231).

For claim 5, the applicant's own admitted prior art and Demos disclose all the previous limitations, but lack teaching wherein the image sensor system is an interlaced sensor system, and the line buffer is used to de-interlace the tertiary image information.

The admitted prior art and Demos both disclose interlaced systems and Demos does in fact using a line buffer to store lines of the decompression output system, which are then sent to the video output display (Column 6, Lines 40-58).

Bernstein teaches an efficient method of de-interlacing a buffer of image data (Title, Abstract, Column 1, Lines 1-5). In the Summary Of The Invention (Column 2, Lines 42-64), Bernstein teaches that for de-interlacing a buffer of image data, scan lines from an image sensor are transferred to even and odd scan line groups (Column 2, Lines 42-65).

Art Unit: 2615

The method and apparatus as disclosed by Bernstein eliminates the need of a duplicate buffer, which saves memory and decreases memory transfers, thereby increasing overall system performance.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have been motivated to configure the combination of the well known prior art and Demos with the de-interlacing method of Bernstein in order to eliminate a duplicate and decrease memory as is suggested by Bernstein in Column 2, Lines 58-64.

6. Claim 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applicant's own admitted prior art in view of Demos (US 5,737,027) in further view of Miller (US 5,060,286).

For claim 8, the applicant's own admitted prior art and Demos disclose all the previous limitations, but lack teaching further comprising a raster-based image compression system for compressing the processed image information to provide compressed image information to a permanent storage system of the digital camera.

In Miller's Background Teaching (Column 1), he teaches data may be compressed in a variety of ways. He further teaches a raster row and byte compression method in which software selectively compresses data on a raster-row by raster-row basis (Column 1, Lines 55-65). The row-by-row basis compares bytes within adjacent rows and thereby detects repetitions between the rows. Using Miller's raster method results in a superior performance compared to other compression methods because graphics images are often in a vertical or horizontal direction (Column 5, Lines 17-22).

Art Unit: 2615

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have been motivated to configure the applicant's own admitted prior lossy compression system with a raster based compression system in order selectively compress data when graphics images are arranged in a vertical or horizontal directions suggested by Miller in Column 5, Lines 17-22.


Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary L Solomon whose telephone number is (703)-305-4370. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ngoc-Yen Vu can be reached on (703)-305-4946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GLS


NGOC-YEN VU
PRIMARY EXAMINER